

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/695,240	BROOKS, JASON D.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Salvador E. Rivas	2619	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Salvador E. Rivas.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) James H. Walters (Reg. No. 35,731).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 5 February 2008

**Time:** 2:58 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2 and 4

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner and James H. Walters discussed the issue of removing the word "known" before the word "bridges" and placing the word "known" after the word "bridges" and deleting the word "thereby" to further clarify and describe the invention. The examiner and James H. Walters concluded that the aforementioned issue stated in claims 2 and 4 to be amended.